

Metrics Planning and Reporting (MPAR) WG Breakout Summary

H. K. (Rama) Ramapriyan
NASA/GSFC
Paul Davis
University of Maryland
Co-Chairs, MPARWG

5th Earth Science Data Systems Working Group
Joint Working Group Meeting
College Park, MD
November 14-16, 2006

MPAR Working Group



- □ Attendance 19 (7 REASoNs; 5 ACCESSes)
- Topics Discussed
- □ FY 06 improvements in metrics from REASoN projects
- □ Frank's charts Neometrics
- □ Future items FY 07 Work Plan
- Action Items

MPARWG Breakout Sessions



Item	Who	Start	Finish
A. 11/14/06 - FY06 Progress and Accomplishments			
Meet and Greet	All	13:30	13:35
ntroduction	Rama	13:35	13:45
IQ View on Metrics	Lindsay	13:45	14:05
Action / Open Items from Oct 2005 workshop	Rama	14:05	14:40
Summary of metrics collection for FY06 1) Metrics Process 2) Regular metrics 3) Impact metrics 4) REASoN Project feedback on metrics ("4 Questions")	Hunolt	14:40	15:40
REASoN Projects' experiences with metrics process	Davis (moderates); all	15:40	16:10
Implementing the Revised FY07 Metrics Baseline: 1) Overall goals 2) Changes in reporting by projects, metric by metric 3) Changes in website / database (segue to next topic)	Rama/Hunolt	16:10	17:00
B. 11/15/06 - Looking Forward - FY07 Plans			
Implementing the Revised FY07 Metrics Baseline (Cont.): 1) Overall goals 2) Changes in reporting by projects, metric by metric 3) Changes in website / database (segue to next topic)	Rama/Hunolt	8:30	9:15
Improvements to website and database and accommodating revised baseline	Davis	9:15	9:45
Education Metrics Survey	Glenn Schuster	9:45	10:05
Break		10:05	10:20
Accommodation of ACCESS Projects	Rama (moderates); all	10:20	11:20
Action Items from the 2006 workshop and FY07 Work Plan	Hunolt	11:20	12:00
C. Special session for ACCESS Projects	Rama/Davis/Hunolt	1:30	2:30

ESDSWG meeting - 11/14-16/2006

FY 05 to FY 06 Improvement in Reporting



- □FY 05 27/40
- □FY 06 34/38
- FY 06 reporting quality and consistency have improved
- Impact metrics
 - >FY 05 4 metrics from 3 projects
 - >FY 06 12 metrics from 4 projects

SERVICE CATEGORIES



Candidate categories and examples:

- >Manipulation/Transformation (computation, subsets, reformat, modeling etc.)
- ➤ Visualization (graphical, animation, etc.)
- ➤ Data Transport (moving data from distributed sources, etc.)
- Service Chaining (finding and linking other services)
- >Search/Discover (aid in data discovery)
- ➤ Delivery (moving data to user)
- ➤ Knowledge enhancement (providing translation or context-specific information, e.g. education & user services)

An Enhanced Metric Philosophy



(toward an ideal for usefulness)

- Mutually valuable to project and agency
- □Project and science context relevant
- Quantified where applicable
- □Targeted to effort of project
- Maintain currency
- □Captures value-added work
- □Non-invasive
- ■More transparent
- Handles distributed collections

FY07 Work Plan Areas



Metrics Collection for FY07

- > Develop the best possible set of project metrics for FY07
- > Maintain new version of metrics website;
- > Assist REASoN and ACCESS projects with reporting to new baseline, especially with new service metrics and project-defined metrics;
- > Provide ongoing support to projects, and reports to Rama, Kathy, NASA Headquarters as needed.
- > Arrange for broader distribution of impact metrics.

■ Analysis of FY07 Metrics

- State of overall metrics reporting in FY07;
- > Report (at FY07 ES-DSWG) on experience with the service metrics that were approved as experimental;
- > Report on experience with project-defined metrics.
- > Develop recommendations for changes to the metrics baseline if needed.

Education Survey

> Develop survey, secure OMB approval

FY07 Work Plan Areas



- □ Develop Concepts for the Future of Metrics
 - > How to convey impact / benefit to science, SMD programs.
 - > Evolve / improve service metrics.
 - Adapt metrics and collection processes to NASA's evolution to smaller, more distributed systems.
 - > Coordinate with other Working Groups.
- □ Coordinate with NASA Headquarters and Study Managers

Develop better understanding of study managers' needs, and meet them:

- ➤ Work with them on format and content of on-line display and/or periodic off-line summary reports derived from the metrics DB, and getting feedback to projects.
- > Develop recommendations for changes to metrics 8, 9 and 10 as needed for study managers.
- □ Accommodate the ACCESS Projects
 - > Engage ACCESS projects in the MPAR-WG and support them as they begin FY07 metrics reporting.
 - > Determine how well new baseline meets ACCESS project needs, develop recommendation for changes if necessary (at FY07 ES-DSWG).

Action Items



- 1. Keep "Characterizing Distributed Systems" item open, Jim Gallagher to first define the item and suggest what action is needed (and what technology e.g. crawlers might be employed).
- 2. Evaluate user satisfaction keep as an action, do a white paper for HQ. Greg Hunolt and Ron Weaver, possible strategies (interviews, surveys, etc.)
- 3. Ask NASA headquarters if Impact Metrics can be made visible to all projects, Hunolt, query projects to see if they would be willing to share, Rama ask HQ for approval.
- 4. Passing Impact Metrics to David Herring / NASA Outreach for wider distribution, Rama to discuss this with Frank Lindsay.
- 5. Query projects to see if they are using automated tools for tracking FTP access, if so which Greg Hunolt.
- 6. Frank Lindsay, Rama, Paul Davis to look at content / format for project summary reports (that are derived from monthly metrics' submissions).
- 7. Assess improvements (list generated during meeting) to website and implement as needed Paul Davis & Saurabh Channan.